



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/510,349 02/22/2000		Takashi Kurimoto	034620-049	2612	
7590 07/13/2004			EXAMINER		
Robert E Krebs			HOM, SHICK C		
Thelen Reid & P O Box 64064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA	-	2666			
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	2)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
4)		09/510,349	9	KURIMOTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
·		Shick C Ho		2666				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 June 2004.						
2a)□								
3)								
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 6-16, 18-27</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the f	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Not	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
* (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			od oopies	u.				
Attachmen	• •		_					
1) Notic	(PTO-413) ate							
3) 🛛 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>15</u> .	SB/08)		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/510,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-27 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 3-16 and 18-27 line 1, the words "A datagram transfer system" seem to refer back to "A datagram transfer system" recited in claim 2 line 1. If this is true, it is suggested changing "A datagram transfer system" to ---The datagram transfer system---. In claim 17 lines 2 and 9 delete typo "far" and insert ---for---. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/510,349

Art Unit: 2666

invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davies et al. (6,483,805).

Regarding claim 1:

Davies et al. disclose the traffic monitoring equipment including: a traffic monitoring function for monitoring traffic information relating to datagrams that have been transmitted by individual users to a network or the datagrams that have been received from said network by said individual users during a specific time interval between a pre-determined earlier point in time and a current point in time (see col. 5 lines 46-65 which recite the processor for monitoring the rate of occurrence of packets indicative of starts and ends of bursts within the traffic flow and col. 6 line 63 to col. 7 line 3 which recite means for marking each individual packet used), and storing monitored results (see col. 4 lines 29-41 which recite storing the measured current traffic load and col. 8 lines 25-42 which recite statistical data being stored); a preference value computation function for evaluating the individual users' usage

Art Unit: 2666

Page 4

of communications, based on the traffic information obtained by said traffic monitoring function, quantifying the results of evaluation and converting the results of quantification to a preference value (see col. 3 lines 49-56 which recite calculating the numbers of packets received in order to derive a measure of traffic load); and a preference value insertion function for inserting said preference value in a header of a datagram being processed at said current point in time (see col. 7 lines 34-45 which recite marking the packet with a value which determines the priority or preference on the next path and col. 10 line 58 to col. 11 line 21 which recite the use of the header field that defines the traffic class and type of service).

Davies et al. disclose the datagram transfer system for receiving datagrams sent from individual users' terminals in a datagram transmission node and forwarding said datagrams to a destination address specified on a header of said datagrams, wherein an impact of said datagrams on network operation is evaluated by a traffic monitoring equipment according to traffic information on said datagrams (see col. 5 lines 46-65 which recite the processor for monitoring the rate of occurrence of packets indicative of starts and ends of bursts within the traffic flow and col. 6 line 63 to col. 7 line 7 which recite

Art Unit: 2666

Page 5

means for marking each individual packet used), evaluation results are evaluation results are quantified, and quantified results are converted and are inserted in said header as a preference value (see col. 7 lines 34-45 which recite marking the packet with a value which determines the priority or preference on the next path and col. 10 line 58 to col. 11 line 21 which recite the use of the header field that defines the traffic class and type of service).

Regarding claim 17:

Davies et al. disclose the method for performing prioritized transfer of datagrams transmitted by individual users far being transferred through a network (see col. 1 lines 14-20) including the steps of evaluating an impact of transmitting a datagram on network operation for each of the individual users (see col. 5 lines 46-65 and col. 6 line 63 to col. 7 line 3); computing a preference value for said datagram to reflect evaluation result (see col. 3 lines 49-56); inserting a computed result in a header of said datagram (see col. 7 lines 34-45 and col. 10 line 58 to col. 11 line 21); and performing prioritized forwarding the datagrams according to the preference value computed far successive datagrams (see col. 7 lines 34-45).

Regarding claim 3:

Art Unit: 2666

Davies et al. disclose wherein said system includes a device for performing prioritized forwarding of said datagrams according to said preference value specified in each header of said datagrams (see col. 1 lines 14-20).

Page 6

Regarding claim 5:

Davies et al. disclose wherein said traffic information includes a length of a datagram or time intervals between transmissions of successive continual datagrams (see Figs. 3 and 5, col. 10 line 64 to col. 11 line 4, and col. 11 lines 48-53).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 6-16, and 18-27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lindsay et al. disclose a communication system with fast control traffic.

Art Unit: 2666

7. Any response to this nonfinal action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (2600 Receptionist at (703) 305-4750).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick Hom whose telephone number is (703) 305-4742. The examiner's regular work schedule is Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm EST and out of office on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached at (703) 308-5463.

Art Unit: 2666

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SH

July 7, 2004

NOT BYING REVINCION VIKENIAS

.